

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | EU NIC DATE | Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov | | 313-1450 |
|--|---------------------------|--|---------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/889,537 | FILING DATE 10/25/2001 | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
| 759 John W. C1.1 | 03/14/20114 | James Lucas | THOM-0016 | 5316 |
| John W Caldw Woodcock Wash One Liberty Place | burn Kurtz Mackingia- 0 | Norris | EXAMINER DOLE, TIMOTHY J | |
| Philadelphia, PA | 19103 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | <i>:</i> | | 2858 | |

DATE MAILED: 05/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| • | Advisory Action | 09/889,537 | LUCAS ET AL. | | | | |
| | | Examin r | Art Unit | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE | Timothy J. Dole | | | | | |
| - | The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with th | <u> </u> | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED 18 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | | | | | | |
| | PERIOD FOR REPLY (check either a) as his | | | | | | |
| | 1 7 Sported for reply expires 3 months from the mailing of the state o | | | | | | |
| | event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is later. In no ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP | | | | | | |
| | (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| | 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | | |
| | 1 minute of entered because. | | | | | | |
| | (a) Lighthey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or a | | | | | | |
| | I will be seen to the windle (See Note below). | | | | | | |
| | (c) it they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the | | | | | | |
| | (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | | |
| 3 | Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection | | | | | | |
| 4 | 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | |
| 5 | 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the | | | | | | |
| 6 | 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly | | | | | | |
| 7. | 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below as | | | | | | |
| | of the claim(s) is (of will be) as follows: | provided below | or appended. | | | | |
| | Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) objected to: | | 4 | | | | |
| | Claim(s) rejected: | | | | | | |
| ۰. | Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| 8.[| approve | d or b) disapproved by the | Examinor | | | | |
| The state of the statement of the statem | | | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | | | | | | |
| | | | N.Le | | | | |
| | 170 | Supervisor Technolo | y Patent Examiner pgy Center 2800 | | | | |

The period for reply is extended to run five MONTHS from the date of the final rejection. Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) accompanied by the appropriate fee. The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. A reply within the meaning of 37 CFR 1.113 or a request for a continued examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114 must be timely filed to avoid abandonment of this application.

The affidavit has been entered and considered but does not overcome the rejection because, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., "the Agar device requires orders of magnitude more power relative to the device of the instant claims, which requires only about 1 milliWatt of emitted microwave power") are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).